- A. No, she did not pay the full amount of the bill.O. I see also looking at a column called meter.
- Q. I see also looking at a column called meter reading in the body of the document that the meter readings seem to not -- they're not linear; in other words, they jump from 2986 all of a sudden to 348 and --
- A. Well, that's the period of when the meter was changed.
- Q. Okay. Is that what those asterisk represent?
- A. Yes.

- Q. And they direct -- they intended to direct the attention to the lower asterisk marked text beneath the body of that transcript?
- A. Right, showing the dates where the meter was changed and what the readings were.
- Q. Okay. Can you read or can you explain to me what happened in just plain English on what date?
- A. On January 22nd, we came out and changed

 Ms. Edwards' meter. The removed index of the old

 meter 1786743 was 3067. And then we set a new meter

And did you provide any of those records for 1 Q. me? 2 3 Yes, I did. Α. 4 (Whereupon, Respondent's Exhibit No. 3 was 5 marked for identification 6 7 as of this date.) MR. McCARTHY: Let the record reflect that I am 8 9 providing Mr. Schmoldt with a copy of a document that's been marked Respondent's Exhibit No. 3 for 10 identification and that I've provided one copy of 11 the came to Ms. Edwards and three copies of the same 12 to the Hearing Examiner. 13 14 BY MR. McCARTHY: Mr. Schmoldt, do you recognize this 15 Ο. document? 16 17 Α. Yes. What is it? 18 Ο. It's a copy of a shop report. 19 Α. And what is the date of this -- that this 20 Ο. report was prepared? 21 22 January 22nd. Α.

Of? 1 Q. 2 Α. 2001. 3 Q. And what address and customer does this 4 record pertain to? 5 The address is 7129 South Indiana and it Α. 6 pertains to Christine Edwards. 7 And what was it that this document -- strike Ο. 8 that. 9 Why was this document prepared? 10 It was regarding a high bill complaint that Ms. Edwards had. 11 12 Q. So what does this -- does this document tell 13 somebody to go out and perform a high bill inspection? 14 15 Α. Yes. 16 Q. And was one performed? 17 Α. Yes. 18 O., When was it performed? On January 22nd at 1:00 o'clock in the 19 Α. 20 afternoon. 21 And what, if anything, does this report show Q. was found? 22

- A. The service person who went out that day found that the range, the hot water heater, the central heating plant, the room heater and the dryer were all in good working order and that they changed the meter and they take it to have it tested by the City.

 Q. Okay. And I see -- where is that located in
- Q. Okay. And I see -- where is that located in the document, that summary?
- A. It says what was found underneath the initial gist of the complaint.
- Q. Okay. And there appear to be acronyms in there. Can you just translate those for us?
- A. Well, the RGE means range; the HW means hot water heater; central heating plant for CHP and then the RMHT means room heater and then dryer is spelled out.
- Q. Thanks. Now, this exhibit that I'd provided you, it's more than one page, isn't it?
- A. Yes.

- Q. What are the other two pages?
- A. The other two pages are just the copies of the remarks of the service person. Basically it's

1 the same thing: Going out there, checking for 2 the -- changing the meter and testing it and doing a 3 check of -- he said also looking at the baseboard 4 heating in the basement. 5 Q. Okay. MS. CHRISTINE EDWARDS: I don't want to 6 7 interfere, but I don't understand what he mean baseboard heater. Does he mean another radiator? 8 9 Is that considered a baseboard heater? 10 THE WITNESS: Right. He says basement radiator 11 or baseboard heater. Whatever. MS. CHRISTINE EDWARDS: Because I don't have any 12 13 baseboard heaters. It's a radiator as far as my 14 knowledge. 15 THE WITNESS: It's a radiator? Well, he says 16 heating, basement radiator. 17 MR. McCARTHY: What kind of heating do you have in that house? Is it a boiler or is it a furnace 18 19 that blows hot air? 20 MS. CHRISTINE EDWARDS: Boiler. 21 MR. McCARTHY: Okay. Well, I'd like to go on 22 with my questioning now.

1	(Whereupon, Respondent's
2	Exhibit No. 4 was
3	marked for identification
4	as of this date.)
5	MR. McCARTHY: Let the record reflect that I am
6	handing a copy of a document that's been marked as
7	Respondent's Exhibit No. 4 for identification to
8	Mr. Schmoldt and one copy of the same to Christine
9	Edwards and three copies of the same to the Hearing
10	Examiner.
11	BY MR. McCARTHY:
12	Q. Mr. Schmoldt, do you recognize this
13	document?
14	A. Yes.
15	Q. What is it?
16	A. It's a copy of the meter test report for the
17	meter that we took out of Ms. Edwards' premises.
18	Q. And can you explain to me how you know this
19	is the report for that particular meter?
20	A. On the company number, the company number is
21	the meter number that was at her premise, 1786743.
22	O. Is that the same number that's listed as the

meter in your Exhibit No. 1? 1 2 Α. Yes. And what, if anything, does this document 3 Q. tell you? 4 5 Α. That the meter tested okay in parameters. Okay. And those parameters are set by who? 6 0. The Illinois Commerce Commission. 7 Α. All right. And where does it indicate that? 8 Q. On the bottom of the page it says meter 9 Α. 10 index okay. Where are the results of the particular test 11 Q. in this? 12 13 Right underneath where it says volumetric Α. 14 test. And what date was this meter tested? 15 Ο. On January 29th of 2001. 16 Α. And the test results are right beneath that; 17 Q. 18 is that right? 19 Right. Α. Did Peoples Gas perform any other inspection 20 Ο. at the customer's premises after that January 22nd, 21 22 2001 inspection?

- A. Yeah, we went out there in March, the one that Mr. McGee went out on.
- Q. So that inspection is represented by the Respondent's Exhibit No. -- I guess it's Respondent's Exhibit No. 1. The other one is Respondent's Exhibit No. 2 that I was referring to earlier. There might be a little confusion in the record.

And what, if anything, did that -- were the results of that inspection?

- A. The -- Mr. McGee's inspection?
- Q. Yeah.

- A. That the only thi- -- well, they went out there and found the leak for Ms. Edwards at the space heater or room heater, whatever it is you want to call it, and they disconnected the pipes for her.
- Q. Brian, do you know how much gas is represented by one MRD on a meter?
 - A. Yeah, it's basically 100 cubic feet.
- Q. And if 100 cubic feet of gas were to leak
 into a room in a short amount of time, would that be
 dangerous?

- A. It would be very dangerous.
- Q. For a leak to substantially impact a bill --
- A. It has to be going on for a long time for it to substantially -- you know, to make her bill a lot higher than normal usage.
- Q. And would it have to be a significant volume?
 - A. It would have to be a lot of gas, yes.
- Q. All right. Mr. Schmoldt, did you prepare any analysis of the complainant's gas usage in prior years as compared to her usage in this most recent winter?
- A. Yes, I did a degree day analysis on the past three years on her account.
 - Q. And what, in general, did that analysis show?
 - A. That everything was in parameters with normal billing.
 - Q. And when you say parameters in normal billing, do you mean that the usage is consistent with her prior years?
- 22 A. Yes.

Did you provide me with a copy of your 1 Q. 2 analysis? 3 Α. Yes. Ο. And what did you use to create that 4 5 analysis? I used Ms. Edwards' records. Α. 6 7 And when you say Ms. Edwards' records --Ο. 8 Α. Ms. Edwards' company records. 9 -- you mean the records of the company Ο. regarding Ms. Edwards' account? 10 Α. Right. 11 12 (Whereupon, Respondent's Exhibit No. 5 was 13 marked for identification 14 15 as of this date.) MR. McCARTHY: 16 Let the record reflect that I am 17 providing a copy of a document that's been marked Respondent's Exhibit No. 5 to Mr. Schmoldt and one 18 19 copy of the same to Ms. Edwards and one copy -- or 20 three copies of the same to the Hearing Examiner. 21 BY MR. McCARTHY: 22 Ο. Mr. Schmoldt, do you recognize this

document?

- A. Yes.
- Q. What is it?
- A. This is the analysis for the degree day for Ms. Edwards' records.
- Q. And it appears that there's -- what are these documents entitled?
 - A. Calculation of index.
- Q. And what do they -- there appear to be a number of handwritten notations in this document.

 Can you go through and explain in plain English what is going on in these?
- A. This is the -- the basis is the days that we use to calculate the bill to see -- compare the gas usage on the company records. So we used the period from which Ms. Edwards was saying her bill jumped up dramatically from November to March. So we used those days and used the total MRD and figure out a degree day and what it comes to MRDs as per day or degree days per day.
- Q. Okay. So eventually you get to a number on this document called -- what's the ultimate number

that we get off of each one of these documents? 1 2 Α. The heating factor, the MRD. And what is that? 3 Ο. Is that --It's .3535. 4 Α. .3535 and that means that -- let's step back 5 Ο. 6 a second. What is a degree day? 7 It's based on -- a degree day would be that Α. she's using heat for that day. So we'd have to say 8 that that day she's going to have -- heat is going 9 10 to be on in her premise. And what -- where do we get the 11 Ο. Okay. information on the number of degree days on any 12 13 particular day? I can't think of what it's called. 14 Wе have --15 Do we get it from the government? 16 17 Α. We have a chart and it shows what the degree day is per day and I can't remember what it's called 18 off the top of my head. 19 20 0. What is the source of that information, 21 though, is it from the government? Is it from --

I'm -- I don't know where the source is

22

Α.

- from, but I just know that we have company records of what the degree day is per day. I don't know where it's from.
- Q. All right. Well, there is -- how many different periods did you look at?
 - A. Three different periods.

- Q. What were those periods that you looked at?
- A. I looked from March 19th of 2001 to November 16th of 2000 and I used March 16th of 2000 to October 20th of 1999 and March 17th of 1999 to November 13th of 1998.
- Q. And are all those -- those three different periods that you looked at or used, were they bounded by company readings?
 - A. Company records and readings, yes.
- 16 Q. And then what is the -- strike that.
 - What is the purpose of calculating the -- that number, the end result number that I spoke to you about, in one case .3535 heating MRD per degree day?
 - A. To see how it compares to previous years, see how if there's a significant gas increase or

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

degree factor is .3436.

And the last factor was from November

- 1 13th, 1998, to March 17th, 1999, and that factor was 2 .3555.
 - Q. Okay. And these calculations and numbers, you're getting those off from the first two pages of the exhibit?
 - A. No, I'm getting it off of company records.
 - Q. Oh, I see. But you recorded them on the first two pages of this exhibit?
 - A. Right.

4

5

6

7

8

9

14

15

- Q. And the last page of this exhibit, what is that document?
- A. That's a history of Ms. Edwards' account for the past three years.
 - Q. So does that have the readings that you used to create this --
- 16 A. Yes.
- Q. -- degree day analysis?
- 18 A. Yes.
- Q. What does this tell you? What do these result tell you, Brian, in your experience as a customer service rep?
 - A. It tells me that everything is fine with the

- account; everything is in line.
- Q. And by that what do you mean? Do you want that her usage is similar to prior years?
 - A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

- Q. What then it seems here she's complaining of high bills. What, if anything, do you think is causing those high bills based on your analysis?
- A. Colder weather at the beginning of this year.
- Q. And is there anything else?
 - A. And the higher cost of gas this year.
 - Q. How much did the cost of gas increase?
- A. It tripled, so it's probably 100 percent it went up.
- Q. Well, now, you also -- or did you compare her usage with her neighbor's usage?
- 17 A. Mm-hmm, yes, I did.
- Q. And what -- was there a discrepancy between her usage and their usage?
- A. There was a little -- she -- Ms. Edwards
 used a little more gas than what here neighbors did,
 yes.

Were either of her neighbors on a budget 1 0. 2 plan? I believe one of her neighbors was on a 3 Α. budget plan, yes. 4 5 Would that lead, if you looked at their Ο. bills, to thinking that they were getting charged 6 7 less perhaps? 8 Α. If they just looked at the budget amount and 9 not at the billing amount, yes. Did you look at Earl Bell's bill? 10 11 Α. Yes. 12 MR. McCARTHY: Can we go off the record for a second? 13 14 JUDGE HAYNES: Sure. (Discussion off the record.) 15 16 BY MR. McCARTHY: Mr. Schmoldt, were exhibits -- let me give 17 Ο. you a copy of all the exhibits that we have entered. 18 19 Were Exhibits 1, 3 and 4 made by persons with knowledge of the facts contained therein? 20 21 Α. Yes.

Were they made at or near the time of the

events recorded in there? 1 Α. 2 Yes. 3 Ο. Is it the regular practice of Peoples Gas to make such records? 4 Α. Yes. 5 And does Peoples Gas keep such records in 6 7 the ordinary course -- in the course of its ordinary business activity? 8 9 Α. Yes. In the case of Exhibit 2 and Exhibit 5, you 10 Q. stated earlier that you prepared these documents 11 using data from records of the company; is that 12 right? 13 14 Α. That is correct. Were the underlying records that were used 15 to create Exhibits 2 and 5 made by persons with 16 knowledge of the facts contained therein? 17 Α. Yes. 18 Were they made at or near the time of the 19 events record in them? 20

Is it the regular practice of Peoples Gas to

21

22

Α.

Q.

Yes.

1	make such records?
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. Does Peoples Gas keep such records in the
4	ordinary course of its business activities?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. Did you accurately transpose the information
7	from the underlying records regarding Exhibits 2 and
8	5 onto Exhibits 2 and 5?
9	A. Yes.
10	MR. McCARTHY: I ask that Respondent's Exhibits 1
11	through 5 be entered into evidence at this time.
12	JUDGE HAYNES: Do you have an objection to these
13	exhibits?
14	MS. CHRISTINE EDWARDS: No, objections to the
15	exhibits. I'd like to talk
16	JUDGE HAYNES: Okay. We will.
17	Let the record reflect that
18	Respondent's Exhibits 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 are admitted
19	into the record.
20	
21	
22	

1	(Whereupon, Respondent's
2	Exhibit Nos. 1 through 5 were
3	admitted into evidence as
4	of this date.)
5	JUDGE HAYNES: Are you done with your direct
6	examination?
7	MR. McCARTHY: Yes.
8	JUDGE HAYNES: Okay.
9	CROSS-EXAMINATION
10	ВУ
11	MS. CHRISTINE EDWARDS:
12	Q. In reference to all of these Exhibits 1
13	through 5, if they aren't based on facts considering
14	the leak that was involved, they wouldn't reflect
15	what really should have been the actual bills; is
16	that wrong or right?
17	A. So you're what
18	Q. In other words, these things were filled out
۱9	without taking into consideration of that leak. In
20	other words, if this leak wasn't incorporated in
21	what you formulated based on your procedures, then

the reading would be different. All of this would

- be different if it was taken into consideration. Am

 I wrong or right?
 - A. Not necessarily, ma'am, no.
- Q. So you're saying that if I didn't have a leak it will be the same as if I had a leak; is that what you're saying?
 - A. Yes, ma'am.
 - Q. You're saying leaks is irrelevant?
- A. In this --

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

18

19

20

21

- Q. No, I just want to know are leaks irrelevant in making these records?
- 12 A. In this case, yes, ma'am.
- Q. So in this case. And another thing, Exhibit

 here you mentioned that -- a person mentioned

 about he repaired that space heater, the same space

 heater that he refused to go outside to find a

 leak --
 - A. Mm-hmm.
 - Q. -- and he repaired inside but he didn't repair outside as far as cutting off, stopping the leak. So you're saying it could leak forever and will never affect my bill. Leaks are irrelevant,

that's what you're saying, Mr. --1 MR. McCARTHY: Objection. He's not --2 That's what it sounds 3 MS. CHRISTINE EDWARDS: like. 4 MR. McCARTHY: -- it's mischaracterizing what 5 6 he's saying. 7 MS. CHRISTINE EDWARDS: No, I'm not mischaracterizing. I'm saying the facts. He based 8 this on ignoring the fact that the leak exist. 9 that's what you're going on. That is my problem. 10 The quy refused to go outside and you didn't even 11 have the guy here that did the inspection to testify 12 that it was a strong leak and another question I 13 want to ask, with the weather being the way it 14 done --15 She's got to rule. 16 MR. McCARTHY: Wait. MS. CHRISTINE EDWARDS: Oh, I'm sorry. 17 JUDGE HAYNES: Can you rephrase your question 18 to -- you want to know if he took the leak into 19 consideration? 20 MS. CHRISTINE EDWARDS: Right. I wanted to know 21 if he took the leak into consideration when he came 22

_	dp with all these calculations because from my
2	observation, all of these things was done ignoring
3	the fact that I had this leak. And I also
4	JUDGE HAYNES: These calculations are based on
5	your meter readings.
6	MS. CHRISTINE EDWARDS: Yeah, but if the reading
7	is showing that I'm heating up outside versus in my
8	house, then I'm going to have a higher reading
9	because of a leak. That's what I'm seeing here, you
10	know. And they're not, to me, accounting for that
11	leak when they come up with these figures.
12	JUDGE HAYNES: I have a separate question.
13	EXAMINATION OF
14	MS. CHRISTINE EDWARDS
15	ВУ
16	JUDGE HAYNES:
L 7	Q. When was this heater installed?
L 8	A. I know it was around '95 or '96. It had to
L 9	be.
0 2	Q. That's close enough. And who installed it?
21	A. It was
22	Q. Was it you